



Water Quality Assessment of Elechi Creek Receiving Effluent Discharges from Industrial Activities in Port Harcourt, Nigeria

P. C. Meregini-Ikechukwu¹, D. N. Ogbonna^{1*} and N. P. Akani¹

¹Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Science, Rivers State University, Nkpolu-Oroworukwo, P.M.B. 5080, Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author DNO designed the study, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Author PCMI managed the analyses and managed the literature searches. Author NPA performed the statistical analysis of the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JAMB/2020/v20i830272

Editor(s):

(1) Dr. Foluso O. Osunsanmi, University of Zululand, South Africa.

Reviewers:

(1) B. Sathya Priya, Bharathiar University, India.

(2) Eisa Ebrahimi, University of Guilan, Iran.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/60082>

Original Research Article

Received 10 June 2020
Accepted 15 August 2020
Published 27 August 2020

ABSTRACT

The Physico-chemistry and heavy metal analyses of Elechi creek were assessed to determine the level of contamination and concentration of metals in the water body. Standard analytical procedures were used during the study. The study showed that pH recorded 7.00-7.35 in surface water; 6.60-7.00 in wastewater while Temperature of the surface water samples ranged from 27.9-29.0°C, Wastewater samples also recorded temperatures values of 26.9-27.8°C in all sampled stations. The values of metals in the surface water ranged from 0.14 to 0.26 mg l⁻¹ for Fe, 0.04 to 0.09 mg l⁻¹ for Cu and 1.21 to 1.42 mg l⁻¹ for Zn. The mean values for Pb, Cr, Mn and Cd were 0.001 mg l⁻¹ in all the stations. The mean values in the wastewater samples ranged from 7.25 to 16.62 mg l⁻¹ for Fe, 4.70 to 8.50 mg l⁻¹ for Zn, 0.21 to 0.34 mg l⁻¹ for Mn, 0.13 to 0.22 mg l⁻¹ for Cr, 0.06 to 0.12 mg l⁻¹ for Pb, 0.03 to 0.07 mg l⁻¹ for Cu and 0.001 mg l⁻¹ for Cd. There were no significant differences across the sampled stations; although some of the metals were below permissible limits. The study revealed that the water is heavily polluted but not contaminated with the waste products it receives, although the accumulated presence of these pollutants in the water can pose an environmental threat in the near future.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: ogbonnadavid@ust.edu.ng;

Keywords: *Effluent discharges; elechi creek; heavy metals; industrial activities; wastewater; surface water.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Water is a valued natural resource for the existence of all living organisms. Water is used for domestic activities, irrigation in agriculture, as a means of transportation and recreational activities among others. Water quality monitoring and evaluation is a major step to water quality management; thus, there has been an increasing demand for monitoring water quality of many rivers by regular measurements of various water quality variables [1]. Assessment of seasonal changes in surface water quality is an important aspect for evaluating temporal variations of river pollution due to natural or anthropogenic inputs of point and nonpoint sources [2].

The present paper describes the physico-chemical properties and heavy metals concentration in the water of the Elechi creek with its tributaries criss-cross other water bodies. It provides a scientific basis for pollution control and its monitoring. The obtained data provide essential information for the preventive measures and/or remedial actions to be taken to overcome the risk and impact of increasing pollution in the river. Several studies reveal that polluted water is one of the leading causes of diseases and deaths worldwide hence it is the most used natural resource of man [3, 4]. Water contamination and pollution by organic materials, solid wastes, sewage and effluents from industries have been on the increase, hence shallow water bodies serves as sink for these waste materials [5, 6].

Elechi creek among other creeks has been as a dumping site of these waste products, there are three major categories of pollutants that cause pollution in water. The first category includes disease-causing agents such as viruses, protozoa, parasitic worms, and bacteria, which enter sewage systems and untreated waste. Because of the abundance of these microbes, wastewater acts as the common source of transmission of diseases such as dysentery, cholera, and typhoid [7, 8].

The second category of water pollutants includes oxygen-demanding waste, which includes the biodegradable matter such as plant residues and animal manure, which are added to the water

naturally or by human beings. In natural process, this biological waste uses oxygen present in the sewage water and thereby results in oxygen depletion. Once all the oxygen has been depleted, bacteria are able to take control of the sewage, by making the water polluted [9].

The third category of water pollutants includes water-soluble inorganic pollutants such as caustics, salts, acids, and toxic metals [9]. Another kind of water pollutants includes ammonium salts, nitrates, phosphates, and so on. The pollutants such as nitrates and phosphates are the important nutrients, and these favor the growth of algae and thereby results in eutrophication [9]. Water pollution over the years has been a major issue as it results in waterborne infections and disease such as Cholera, Shigellosis, and Dysentery among others these infections occur due to pathogenic organisms existing in the water. The quality of good water is dependent on temperature, pH, heavy metal concentration and other parameters, the amount of rainfall and tidal wave tell a lot of the status of the water [10].

Seasonal spatial and temporal variations play a vital role in the physical and chemical changes of water as the increase or decrease of required nutrient demand may determine the survival of the organisms and aquatic habitats in the water [11]. Water quality is dependent on natural processes, such as precipitation, erosion, weathering of crystal materials as well as anthropogenic sources like urbanization, mining and agricultural activities [12, 13, 14]. Different sources of water around the world are increasingly been polluted, and this occurs in both rural and urban areas due to increased population and human activities. The pollutants are mostly organic substances, from open drainages, and residents around the creek who use the water for agricultural and industry related activities and these can be described as non-point source pollutants [5, 14]. Elechi Creek is one of many creeks in Port Harcourt receiving considerable amount of effluents from industrial and domestic operations. Over the years there has been an increase in anthropogenic activities in the area, hence the need for this study to determine the effect of the effluents on the physicochemical parameters of the creek.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Description of Study Area

The study area is the Elechi creek, close to Eagle Island, extending to Iloabuchi street in Diobu, Port-Harcourt. The Eagle Island is located on the South-West of Port Harcourt between longitude 04°46'743"N and 007°00'557"E; latitude 04°48'217"N and 006°48'989"E (Fig. 1), and bounded on the North by the Rivers State University Nkpolu Oroworukwo area of Diobu. The Elechi creek is a brackish water system influenced by tidal fluxes with amplitude of about 1.20m and receives high sea inflow and low fresh water input from adjoining swamp forest with minimal current flow velocity of about 3ms⁻¹. It has mangrove vegetation of various types. It receives municipal effluents (>1500 litre/day), solid waste (3500kg/day), oily waste from garages (150 litre/day) in addition to other discharges from sand mills and other activities on the creek [15].

2.2 Sampling Locations

Samples for this study were obtained from Elechi creek situated in Port Harcourt, Nigeria. The choice of the stations was based on the high effluent discharge deposited into the creek by surrounding industries and other anthropogenic activities around the creek. A total of five (5) sampling stations were designated along the creek. Sampling stations were chosen approximately 6 to 10 meters from the creek banks and about 50 meters apart from each other using a Garmin 45 Ground Positioning System (GPS). The geographical locations and types of samples collected from each sampling station are presented on Table 1 and a map showing the sampling Stations is presented in Fig. 1.

2.3 Sample Collection

The surface water and wastewater samples were collected using the method of Odokuma and Okpokwasili [16]. At each sampling point two sets of samples were collected in separate 1-litre

labelled plastic bottles for both samples which were previously sterilized with 70% alcohol 24 hours before the collection. The bottles were rinsed 3 to 4 times with the water sample before the final collection. Samples were collected from five stations along the creek to represent the different activities on the creek. Water samples were collected with the base of the sample bottle held with one hand and plunged below the water surface with the mouth of the bottle in the opposite direction of water flow at a depth of about 30cm and allowed to fill and corked under water. After collection of samples, the samples were put in an ice pack cooler and transported to the laboratory for analysis. A total of 70 surface water and 70 wastewater samples were collected twice a month for a period of seven months from February to August 2019. At the laboratory, samples were stored in refrigerators at 4°C until analysis.

2.4 Sample Analyses

The physical and chemical parameters (pH, Temperature, Dissolved Oxygen (DO), Total Dissolved Solids (TDS), Electrical Conductivity (EC), Turbidity, Salinity, Chloride and Bromine) of the water samples were measured *in situ* using digital electronic multi-parameter water meters [HANNA instruments Model HI 9812, Lutron DO-5509 meter, TDS scan 10m 170308-034, Surgefield Conductivity meter SM3030, Turbidity Meter Model SGZ-200BS (NTU), HANNA salinity meter, and Astaplus pool and spa kit]. Calibration of meters was performed before every sampling and was rinsed three times with distilled water and the samples to be tested before each use. The measurement of BOD₅ was carried out according to methods by APHA (2012) [17]. Surface water and Wastewater samples were drawn into a 250 ml bottle respectively and incubated in the dark for five days at 20°C and at the end of five days, the final dissolved oxygen (DO) content was determined. Decrease in DO between the final DO reading and the initial DO reading was corrected for sample dilution and recorded as the BOD of the sample. Measurement of nutrients and heavy metals such as (Nitrate, Sulphate,

Table 1. Study area coordinates

S/N	Stations	Longitude	Latitude	Samples collected
1	Car wash	6°97'43.8E	4°79'07.6N	Surface water and Wastewater
2	Boat making	6°97'41.6E	4°79'14.0N	Surface water and Wastewater
3	Transportation	6°97'34.4E	4°79'17.6N	Surface water and Wastewater
4	Sewage treatment plant	6°97'32.1E	4°79'23.6N	Surface water and Wastewater
5	Sand dredging site	6°97'32.0E	4°79'30.2N	Surface water and Wastewater




Fig. 1. Map of Elechi creek showing the sampling stations

Phosphate, Iron, Lead, Copper, Chromium, Zinc, Manganese and Cadmium) were analysed using an Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (Varian Spectrophotometer AA 220, Australia] according to (APHA 2012)[17].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results of Physicochemical Characteristics and Heavy metal concentration of the surface water and wastewater are presented in Table 2 and Table 3 respectively. Generally the results obtained revealed no significant difference across the stations. The quality of water is based on the colour, smell, taste and amount of metals and these features help to determine its safety for aquatic habitats and health of humans. Physicochemical parameters used to determine the quality of water are considered below as well as the levels of heavy metal concentration.

Temperature is the degree of coldness or hotness of a body; it affects and influences pH, alkalinity, acidity and dissolved oxygen in water. Temperature influences the growth of microbes

and aquatic inhabitants, colour, odour, and taste of water. Also change in temperature determines the increase or decrease of chemical reactions in water. Similarly the rate of biochemical reactions usually double for every rise in temperature. The variations in temperature ranged from 27.9 to 29.0°C across the stations while the mean temperature values of the wastewater ranged from 26.8°C to 27.8°C (Table 2). However Obire et al. [18] reveal that variations of water body temperature can be attributed to sunlight due to the shallowness of the water, similarly a temperature between 26-30°C are influenced by organic matter and undissolved solids from industrial discharge into the creek. Temperature values obtained in this study indicates that Elechi creek is polluted with both organic and inorganic pollutants and could pose a threat to the aquatic life existing in the creek as well as human health and alterations in the environment.

The pH is a measure of the hydrogen ion activity of the water. It is also an indicator of the acidic or alkaline nature of a water body, whether high or low pH determines the type of aquatic species

which can survive in such water environment. The results of the surface water pH showed that the values were tending towards neutral with values ranging from 7.00 – 7.35, the least values were recorded as 7.00 in station 5 while the highest value were recorded as 7.35 in station 1 (Table 2). The results of the surface water could be as result of fresh water influx into the creek. The pH revealed that the wastewater is slightly acidic with values ranging from 6.60 in station 5 to 7.00 in station 2. This could be due to the constituents of the wastewater arising from storm water runoff and other anthropogenic activities in the settlements around the creek. The pH values are in consonance with previous research reports by other workers [18, 19, 20]. Although the values obtained in this study were within WHO permissible limits of 6.5 - 8.5. However, pH is important in water quality assessment as it influences many biological and chemical processes within a water body [21].

Turbidity refers to the ability of scattered light to be absorbed rather than to be transmitted in a straight line through water. Water turbidity is caused by suspended matter or impurities such as clay, silt, organic and inorganic matter or compounds, plankton and other microscopic organisms which interfere with the clarity of water [22]. The variations in turbidity of both surface water and wastewater samples across all sampled stations varied in different stations with values ranging from 1.90 NTU in stations 2 and 5 to 2.95 NTU in station 1, similarly turbidity values of the wastewater ranged from 4.55 to 6.55 NTU with the highest value recorded in station 1 and the lowest in station 5 (Table 2).

Dissolved oxygen is simply the amount of oxygen of a water body which is readily available for biochemical activities; it also serves as indicator of degree of pollution in water bodies. The amount of oxygen which is dissolved in water depends on the rate of aeration, salinity, temperature and air pressure, thus the exact amount of oxygen that can be dissolved in water depends on the rate of respiration by the aquatic animals and microscopic organisms in the water and also photosynthetic activity by plants. In this study, the variations of DO of the water samples across the stations in both surface water and wastewater ranged from 2.90 to 3.15mg l⁻¹ in stations 1 and 4 respectively for surface water and while 2.55mg l⁻¹ was recorded in station 5 and 3.30mg l⁻¹ in station 3. These values are in

agreement with studies by other researchers [18, 20]. According to Ekubo and Abowei [23], fishes can die if they are exposed to less than 0.3mg l⁻¹ of DO for a long period of time, but can survive in water bodies of DO of 8.0mg l⁻¹ and above. The DO values recorded in this study is considerably low and this can be attributed to the presence of degradable organic matters, industrial discharges and surface runoffs from various sources which could cause ecological imbalances for aquatic organisms in the water environment.

Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD₅) is used as an index to determine the amount of dissolved oxygen required by aerobic biological organisms (microorganisms) to decompose organic materials and also biological activity in the water. Hence high concentrations of BOD are an indication of organic pollution. The BOD₅ values which ranged from 5.75 to 9.50mg l⁻¹ in surface water and 4.78 to 9.63mg l⁻¹ in wastewater, indicates that both the surface water and wastewater are polluted (Table 2). The high BOD in surface water could also mean that the oxygen present is been used up for decomposition of the pollutants, and is not readily available for aquatic life anymore. Previous studies have revealed that BOD₅ values more than 2-4mg l⁻¹ is an indication of serious pollution [18, 20]. According to Ekundayo [24], contamination of water with faeces increases the BOD₅ hence it contains organic matter which makes oxygen deplete faster and unavailable to desirable organisms. The values of BOD₅ obtained in the study were considerably high compared to the previously reported values by [20, 25], this clearly means that the pollution of the creek by organic matter and industrial discharges have increased and it must be attended to before it causes serious damage to the water environment. The BOD of a river must generally not exceed 4.0mg l⁻¹ as this would reduce DO from saturating to 5.0 - 6.0mg l⁻¹ which is still capable of supporting aquatic life [26, 4].

Total dissolved solids are the measure of total inorganic waste materials dissolved or absorbed by the water. Table 2 represents the variations of the TDS values between the two water samples. The amount of the total dissolved solids in the surface water ranging from 14,937 to 16,687mg l⁻¹ with the least value recorded in station 2 as 14,937mg l⁻¹ and the highest value recorded in station 4 as 16,687mg l⁻¹. Total dissolved solid of the wastewater from the study area ranged

Table 2. Mean variation of physicochemical parameters of surface water and wastewater

Stations	pH		Temperature		Turbidity		Dissolved Oxygen		Total dissolved solids		Electrical Conductivity		Salinity		Chloride		Bromine		BOD	
	SW	WW	SW	WW	SW	WW	SW	WW	SW	WW	SW	WW	SW	WW	SW	WW	SW	WW	SW	WW
Station 1	7.35	6.75	29.0	27.8	2.95	6.55	2.90	3.05	15637.50	16587.50	26650.00	22488.50	0.56	0.45	0.06	0.15	0.06	0.25	7.50	6.67
Station 2	7.35	7.00	28.5	26.8	1.90	5.70	2.90	3.00	14937.50	14562.50	24650.00	11753.50	0.53	0.44	0.06	0.15	0.06	0.25	7.90	7.36
Station 3	7.05	6.85	28.0	27.5	2.40	5.25	2.95	3.30	16637.50	21437.50	28550.00	25554.00	0.49	0.45	0.06	0.15	0.06	0.25	8.45	9.63
Station 4	7.15	6.95	27.9	27.5	2.20	5.10	3.15	3.05	16687.50	18537.50	26130.00	20713.50	0.47	0.44	0.06	0.15	0.06	0.25	9.50	4.78
Station 5	7.00	6.60	29.0	26.9	1.90	4.55	3.10	2.55	16037.50	16137.50	22180.00	15683.50	0.37	0.39	0.06	0.15	0.06	0.25	5.75	5.80
WHO Limit	6.5-8.5		25-36°C		5.0		7.5-10		500		1000		-		250		-		15-30	

Key: SW – Surface water, WW – Wastewater

Table 3. Mean variations of heavy metals concentration of surface water and wastewater

Stations	Nitrate		Sulphate		Phosphate		Iron		Lead		Copper		Chromium		Zinc		Manganese		Cadmium	
	SW	WW	SW	WW	SW	WW	SW	WW	SW	WW	SW	WW	SW	WW	SW	WW	SW	WW	SW	WW
Station 1	1019.23	1529.45	1415.93	2470.83	2.68	5.45	0.17	16.62	0.001	0.08	0.09	0.03	0.001	0.17	1.27	8.51	0.001	0.29	0.001	0.001
Station 2	1131.30	1477.54	1051.52	2610.37	7.09	7.91	0.26	11.37	0.001	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.001	0.13	1.4	5.99	0.001	0.34	0.001	0.001
Station 3	969.54	1572.04	1009.54	2243.71	5.23	6.77	0.25	9.47	0.001	0.12	0.05	0.06	0.001	0.19	1.42	7.49	0.001	0.29	0.001	0.001
Station 4	1122.35	1391.95	960.37	2511.94	3.82	7.48	0.19	8.77	0.001	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.001	0.22	1.41	5.98	0.001	0.29	0.001	0.001
Station 5	1009.80	1246.99	851.95	2427.84	4.40	6.01	0.14	7.25	0.001	0.06	0.04	0.07	0.001	0.15	1.21	4.70	0.001	0.21	0.001	0.001
WHO LIMIT	10		250		200		0.30		0.001		1.0 - 2.0		<1.0		<1.0		<1.0		<1.0	

Key: SW – Surface water, WW – Wastewater

from 14,562mg l⁻¹ in station 2 to 21,437mg l⁻¹ in station 3. The spatial and temporal variations of the TDS values in both the surface water and wastewater can be attributed to the activity in the stations. High TDS values are an indication of pollution with solid waste materials from open drainage [4].

Salinity is a measure of salt content of a water body. The salinity values recorded in this study ranged from 0.37‰ in station 5 to 0.56‰ in station 1 in surface water, while in the wastewater the level of salinity recorded ranged between 0.39‰ in stations 5 and 3 respectively and 0.45‰ in station 1 as shown in Table 2. According to a study by [27] it was revealed that low salinity values are attributed to the nature of the creek; (brackish water) and also through the inflow of fresh water discharge and rainfall.

Electrical conductivity is the measure of the water body to be able to conduct electric current, it is also used to monitor and estimate the amount of dissolved solids in the water. It is also an indication of pollutants through wastewater. The permissible limit is between 100-1000µS/cm. The study recorded high EC values across all stations in both the surface water and wastewater. The high EC values in the surface water ranged from 28,550µS/cm in station 3 and 22,180µS/cm in station 5; this indicates that station 3 is highly polluted with the wastewater while station 5 is the least polluted. The mean electrical conductivity values of the wastewater varied from 11,753µS/cm in station 2 to 25,554µS/cm in stations 3 (Table 2). However these values are in agreement with previous findings of Elechi creek [25, 27, 20] similarly these spatial and temporal changes play an effective role in the condition of the creek.

Nitrogen exists in water bodies as nitrate which is a key ingredient in fertilizers, they are considered as pollutants in saltwater or brackish estuarine systems when nitrogen becomes limited, abundance of bioavailable nitrogen in water bodies can lead to eutrophication and algal blooms. The Nitrate concentration values in both surface water and wastewater ranged between 969.54mg l⁻¹ in station 3 and 1131.30mg l⁻¹ in station 2 for the surface water samples while values of the wastewater ranged from 1246.99mg l⁻¹ in station 5 to 1572.04mg l⁻¹ in station 3 (Table 3). The values of nitrate concentration obtained in this study are higher than the value reported by [28, 20] who in their

respective studies recorded nitrate values ranging from 4.50-11.00mg l⁻¹ and <0.05 – 2.80mg l⁻¹ respectively. The high values indicates the abundance of nitrate in the surface water and this can be attributed to organic matter from sewage effluents, also the values obtained are all above permissible limits. The low values which were recorded by previous reports of [20] can be that the nitrate was used up by growing algal mats which were covering the mudflats that were exposed during low tide. Therefore, it can be concluded that the values obtained are above limit and thus the creek is polluted with nitrate.

Phosphorus is an essential nutrient which is readily available; it exists in many forms, one of which is phosphate. It is a major ingredient in fertilizers used in large scale and small scale agricultural practices. Phosphorus occurs in water through soil erosion or surface water runoffs since numerous forms of it are easily adsorbed to soil particles [26]. Excess amounts of phosphate in aquatic environment may lead to proliferation of microscopic algae called phytoplankton [26]. As shown in Table 3 phosphate concentrations ranged between 2.68mg l⁻¹ in station 1 as the least value to 7.09mg l⁻¹ in station 2 as the highest value obtained in surface water while the values of the wastewater ranged between 5.45 to 7.91mg l⁻¹, with the least value recorded in station 1 as 5.45mg l⁻¹ and highest value in station 2 with 7.91mg l⁻¹.

Sulphate (SO₄²⁻) occurs naturally in water as a result of leaching from gypsum and other common minerals [29]. The results obtained shows that sulphate concentrations ranged from 851.95mg l⁻¹ to 1415.93mg l⁻¹ in surface water with the least concentration in station 5 as 851.95mg l⁻¹ and the highest concentration recorded in station 1 as 1415.93mg l⁻¹. Similarly concentrations of the wastewater also showed variations with values ranging from 2243.71mg l⁻¹ as the least values recorded in station 3 to 2610.37mg l⁻¹ as the highest in station 2.

The presence of Iron (Fe²⁺) in water indicates possible contamination from inflow of waste rock dumpsites, geology of the stream channel and rock mineral types present [30]. Table 3 shows the variations of iron in both the surface water and wastewater samples. Concentrations of iron in surface water ranged from 0.14mg l⁻¹ in station 5 to 0.26mg l⁻¹ in station 2. These values were relatively low and within the permissible limit, while the concentrations of iron in the wastewater

samples ranged from 7.25mg l⁻¹ in station 5 to 16.62mg l⁻¹ in station 2. These values are in agreement with reports of other studies (Table 3).

Lead (Pb²⁺) is a toxic element that is accumulated in skeletal structures, it may be present in water due to discharge of industrial effluents from petroleum production. The toxic effects of lead decreases with increased water hardness and dissolved oxygen. Lead is also not essential for plant and animal life [31]. The concentration of lead in both the surface water and wastewater in all stations were generally low the values of lead were recorded as 0.001mg l⁻¹ in surface water and wastewater values were recorded as 0.06mg l⁻¹ in station 5 and 2 respectively as the least values while the highest values were recorded in station 3 as 0.12mg l⁻¹ as represented in Table 3 therefore there are no health risk concerns.

The presence of Zinc (Zn) in a water body is an indication of pollution via liquid and solid wastes from a dumpsite which may be close to the body of water [32]. Table 3 shows that Zinc levels were slightly above the WHO permissible limits of <1.0 in the surface water as the least values were recorded in station 5 as 1.21mg l⁻¹ and highest in station 3 as 1.42mg l⁻¹ (Table 3). Although wastewater samples showed relatively high concentrations of 4.70mg l⁻¹ in station 5 as the least value obtained while the highest value were recorded as 8.51mg l⁻¹ in station 1. Thus the occurrence of low levels of Zn in the surface water is unclear and this will require further investigation to determine the reasons for the low zinc values.

Heavy metals occur naturally as elements with high atomic weight and density which is at least 5 times greater than water, they can mostly be found in industrial, domestic effluents, agricultural, medical and technological applications and this led to their wide distribution in the environment, which poses a threat to human health and the environment. Their level of toxicity depends on several factors including the dose, route of exposure, and chemical species, as well as status of exposed individuals and environment. Due to their high degree of toxicity, these metallic elements are of public health significance and considered as systemic toxicants that are known to induce multiple organ damage, even at lower levels of exposure [33]. Heavy metal Concentrations of Copper (Cu), Chromium (Cr), Manganese (Mn), and Cadmium

(Cd), were relatively low across the sampled station in both the surface water and wastewater. The values of Copper ranged from 0.09 mg l⁻¹ in station 1 to 0.04 mg l⁻¹ in station 5 in surface water while wastewater values ranged from 0.03 mg l⁻¹ in station 1 to 0.07 mg l⁻¹ in station 5 as represented in Table 3 show low concentrations of these metals and this could be as a result of adsorption of the metals by suspended matter in the water. These values show that the Elechi creek is contaminated with heavy metals but may not be polluted.

4. CONCLUSION

The water quality of Elechi creek is deteriorating and based on the findings of this study there is need to create public awareness regarding the dangers associated with the consumption of water from the creek. Continuous monitoring of the creek is very essential in order to safeguard its users. Elechi creek is highly contaminated with inorganic and organic matter and also metals but it is not polluted by metals and there is still a chance that the aquatic habitats are safe for consumption but should be properly cooked before it is consumed. The indiscriminate use of the creek as a wastewater dumping ground should be stopped before the water becomes completely polluted and unsafe for any purpose. Proper regulatory policies should be put in place to monitor the discharge of effluents into the creek by the surrounding industries.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Bartam J, Balance R. Water quality monitoring: A practical guide to the design of freshwater quality studies and monitoring programme, Published Behalf of UNDP and WHO Chapman and Hall, London. 1996;383. ISBN 0-419-21730-4.
2. Ouyang Y, Nkedi-kizza P, Wu QT, Shinde D, Huang CH. Assessment of seasonal variations in surface water quality, department of water resources St. Johns River Water Management District P.O Box 1429 Palatka FL 321781429 USA. Journal of Water Resources. 2006;40(20):3800-10.
3. West L. World water day: A billion people worldwide lack safe drinking water. Wikipedia 2006.

4. Ogbonna DN. The impact of untreated sewage wastes discharge on the physico chemical properties of rivers in Port Harcourt Metropolis. *World Journal of Scientific Research and Reviews*. 2014;2:(2):1–19.
5. Meme FK, Arimoro FO, Nwudukwe FO. Analyses of physical and chemical parameters in surface waters nearby a cement factory in North Central, Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental Protection*. 2014;5:826-834.
6. Essien DU, Nnanake-Abasi OO, Samuel E, John BE. Distribution of trace metals in surface water and sediments of imo river estuary (Nigeria): Health Risk Assessment, Seasonal And Physicochemical Variability. *Journal of Environmental Chemistry and Ecotoxicology*. 2016;8(1):1-8.
7. Basavaraja SSM, Heremath KNS, Mmurthy KN, Chandrashekarappa AN, Patel, Puttiach ET. Analysis of water quality using physic-chemical parameters. Hosahalli Tonk in Shimaga District, Karnataka, India, *Gblol Journal of Science Frontiel, Research*. 2011;1(3):31-34.
8. Ochuko U, Thaddeus OO. Effect of underground on-site sewage disposal system on the quality of water from hand dug wells in the urban centre of Ughelli, Delta State, Nigeria. *Standard Journal of Education and Essay*. 2013;1(6):81– 90.
9. Chauhan RK. Physico-chemical analysis of untreated sewage water of ladwa town of kurukshetra district of haryana and need of waste water treatment plant. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2014;3(3):326-333.
10. Lawson EO. Physico-chemical parameters and heavy metal concentration of water from the mangrove swamps Of Lagos Lagoon, Lagos, Nigeria. *Advances in biological Research* 2011;5(1):08-21.
11. Lawal RA, Lohdip YN, Egila JN. Water quality assessment of Kampani River, Plateau state. *Asian Review of Environmental And Earth Science*. 2014;1(2):30-34.
12. Diersing N. Water quality frequently asked questions. *Indian Journal of Experimental Biology*. 2009;41(3):122–138.
13. Pravat RD, Biswabandita K, Partha C, Chitta RP. Seasonal variation of the physicochemical properties of water samples in mahanadi estuary, East Coast of India. *Journal of Environmental Protection*. 2013;4:843-848.
14. Ogbonna DN, John OO, Lucky BK. Influence of anthropogenic activities on the physico-chemical characteristics of open drainage channels in Port Harcourt. *Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports*. 2019;3(1):1-10.
15. Davies OA, Allison ME, Uyi HS. Bioaccumulation of heavy metals in water, sediment and periwinkle (*Tympanotonus fuscatus* var *rudula*) from Elechi Creek, Niger Delta. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 2006;5(10):968-973.
16. Odokuma LO, Okpokwasili GC. Seasonal influences on inorganic anion monitoring of the New Calabar River, Nigeria. *International Journal of Environment and Management*. 1993;17(4):491-496.
17. APHA (American Public Health Association). *Standard Methods for the Examination of Waters and Wastes Water*, 20th ed. Washington, D. C., 2012;1-161.
18. Obire O, Tamuno DC, Wemedo SA. Physico-chemical quality of elechi creek in port harcourt, nigeria. *journal of applied science and environmental management*. 2005;7(1): 41–49.
19. Ogamba EN, Chindah AC, Ekweozor IKE, Daka ER, Onwuteaka J, Bawo DDS. Impact of human activities on the plankton communities of Elechi Creek Complex in the Niger Delta, Nigeria. *Niger Delta Biological*. 2005;5:1-9.
20. Ngah AS, Braide S, Dike CC. Physico-chemistry of elechi creek in the upper bonny estuary, Rivers State, Nigeria. *Journal of Geoscience and Environment Protection*. 2017;5:181-197.
21. World Health Organization (WHO). *Guidelines for drinking water quality criteria*, 4th ed. Geneva. 2011;307-441.
22. EPA (2002). *Ghana Landfills Guidelines*, Accra, 2002.
23. Ekubo AA, Abowei JFN. Review of some water quality management principles in culture fisheries. *Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology*. 2011;(3):1342-1357.
24. Ekundayo JA. Environmental consequences of the pollution of the lagos lagon. *Bulletin Science Association of Nigeria*. 1977;(3):290-299.
25. Nwidu LL, Oveh B, Okoriye T, Vaikosen NA. Assesment of the water quality and prevalence of water-borne diseases in Amassoma Niger Delta, Nigeria. *African*

- Journal of Biotechnology. 2008;7(17): 2993-2997.
26. Nartey VK, Hayford EK Ametsi. SK. Assessment of the impact of solid waste dumpsites on some surface water systems in the Accra Metropolitan Area, Ghana. *Journal of Water Resource and Protection* 2012;4:605-61.
27. Ogamba EN, Inyang IR, Seiyahboh EI. A predictive model of the inter relationship between physicochemical parameter and plankton productivity in elechi creek complex in the Niger-Delta of Nigeria. *African Journal of Applied Zoology & Environmental Biology*. 2010; 12.
28. Chindah AC, Braide SA, Amadi A, Osuankpe A. Investigation into the epipelagic algal community of elechi creek at bonny estuary, Niger Delta, Nigeria. *International Journal of Biochemistry and physics*. 1993;2(7):119-124.
29. Shrinivasa RB, Venkateswaralu P. Physicochemical analysis of selected groundwater samples in India. *Indian Journal of Environment Protection*. 2000;20(3):161-169.
30. Taiwo AM, Olujimi OO, Bamgbose O, Arowolo TA. Surface water quality monitoring in Nigeria: Situational analysis and future management strategy water quality monitoring and assessment. Dr. Voudouris (Ed.); 2012: ISBN: 978-953-51-0486-5.
31. Kar D, Sur P, Mandal SK, Saha T, Kole RK. Assessment of heavy metal pollution in surface water. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*. 2008;5:119-124.
32. Aktar MW, Paramasivam M, Ganguly M, Purkait S, Sengupta D. Assessment and occurrence of various heavy metals in surface water of Ganga river around Kolkata: A study for toxicity and ecology impact. *Environmental Monitoring Assessment*. 2010;160:207-213.
33. Paul BT, Clement GY, Anita KP, Dwayne JS. Heavy metals toxicity and the environment national institute of health. 2012;101:133-164.

© 2020 Meregini-Ikechukwu et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/60082>